This is in response to your memorandum of April 16, 1964, regarding further work to be done in each area.

A. Presidential Protection

Agents Bowles, Leamon and Barretts are scheduled to testify on Thursday, April 23, 1964. We have received formal responses from Secret Service to our questions on Dallas. Before Thursday, we will have their formal responses to our more general questions. If these responses and the testimony of the witnesses satisfy the Commission, no further major work will remain. If the Commission desires more information on Secret Service plans for the future, we will have to schedule the testimony of Chief Bowles.

Regarding recommendations on the sharing of intelligence data among agencies, Mr. Williams has informally requested a briefing on FBI data processing. It is essential to understand how the FBI handles such information to be able to recommend, even generally, a new approach to making additional information available to the Secret Service. Once we understand the FBI system, I will want to have further talks with Secret Service and CIA. I don't believe any witnesses in this area will be required.

To negate the suggestion that Oswald might have been a CIA agent, we are, as I understand it, to get an affidavit made by Mr. McOne. I believe that Mr. Dallas has undertaken to arrange for this. Whether anything further will need to be done depends upon the acceptability of the affidavit.

On the FBI's involvement with Oswald we have received the FBI's responses to our initial set of questions. I am preparing a draft of follow-up questions which we may want to use. We should also consider asking for all internal FBI documents on Oswald, and I have prepared a draft letter asking this request.
We have not taken the testimony of FBI agents who had various
contacts with Oswald, having postponed this decision until we had the
FBI's response to our questions. In view of the rather circumstantial
nature of the response, it appears necessary to take the testimony of
the agents. I do not think it will be necessary to recall these Commission
witnesses, and believe I could base their depositions, in Dallas and New
Orleans, in two or three days. We should consider deposing agents Pain,
Carter and Brown, who interviewed Oswald in Fort Worth or Dallas; Testy
and Wilcox, who interviewed Mr. Feine and Oswald in Irving; and
carried on the interview Oswald in New Orleans; and St. Metz, who wrote the
New Orleans report. These depositions could be directed primarily at obtaining
further information on matters already covered in the FBI reports on
Oswald, and secondarily at learning more about the FBI's interest in
Oswald and rejecting the suggestion that he might have been an FBI
informant.

D. Treatment of Oswald by Dallas Police

The Commission may wish to question the scheduled Dallas police
witnesses on this matter. With the depositions that were taken in
Dallas, an adequate record of the treatment of Oswald is available,
except for viewing the contents of any television record made of his arrest
and detention. We are taking steps to obtain these tapes. If the tapes
do not suggest any further line of inquiry, no further witnesses or
other investigations would seem to be required.

E. Status of Inquiry

I have not been able to penetrate the literature. I am trying to
locate someone who might advise me as to whom the most responsible
work on social psychology is being carried out so that I may consider
consulting an expert.

* * *

I expect to begin working on the first draft of the
section of my Presidential Protection and dealing with a description
of the protective measures taken before and at Dallas. If it is
decided that the depositions of the FBI agents numbered above should be
taken, I can finish off not hopefully finish this within two or three
days. Since Dr. Baxter's book will be due from Dallas for the next
too costly, and probably should be in liaison with I interviewed the
FBI agent, pending these dispositions should not be scheduled until
the end of May 1960. During these two weeks I would also plan to
work on data processing techniques with the FBI, Court Service and
CIA. The calling of congressional testimony and staff dispositions for
which I am responsible will not interfere with this schedule.

I am, however, concerned that a serious effort to learn the
exact accomplishment of sociologists and psychologists in attempting
to measure and explain group dynamics, with a view to attempting such
correlation in the report of such matters, could seriously interfere
with this schedule. I will know better after I find a knowledgeable
person with whom to discuss this.